Hydrodynamics and mass transfer characteristics in gas–liquid flow through a rectangular microchannel
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Abstract

Researches on two-phase transfer and reaction processes in microchannels are important to the design of multiphase microchemical systems. In the present work, hydrodynamics and mass transfer characteristics in cocurrent gas–liquid flow through a horizontal rectangular microchannel with a hydraulic diameter of 667 μm have been investigated experimentally. Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficients were measured by absorbing pure CO2 into water and a 0.3 M NaHCO3 / 0.3 M Na2CO3 buffer solution. Interfacial areas were determined by absorbing pure CO2 into a 1 M NaOH solution. Two-phase flow patterns and pressure drop data were also obtained and analyzed. This paper shows that two-phase frictional pressure drop in the microchannel can be well predicted by the Lockhart–Martinelli method if we use a new correlation of C value in the Chisholm’s equation. Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient and interfacial area as high as about 21 s\(^{-1}\) and 9000 m\(^2\)/m\(^3\), respectively, can be achieved in the microchannel. Generally, liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient increases with the increasing superficial liquid or gas velocity, which can be described satisfactorily by the developed empirical correlations. A comparison of mass transfer performance among different gas–liquid contactors reveals that the gas–liquid microchannel contactor of this study can provide at least one or two orders of magnitude higher liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficients and interfacial areas than the others.
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1. Introduction

During the last decade, microreaction technology has experienced spectacular development and has been used in a variety of applications by chemists and chemical engineers, which mainly results from numerous distinguished advantages offered by this technology such as enhanced heat and mass transfer, improved chemistry, easy scaling up and increased safety (Ehrfeld et al., 2000; Jähnisch et al., 2004; Jensen, 2001; Kolb and Hessel, 2004; Watts and Haswell, 2005). However, for rational designs of such microchemical systems, fundamental issues related to characteristics of transfer and reaction processes in microchannels should be investigated before.

Gas–liquid contacting is involved in many industrially relevant operations. When these processes are operated in microchannels, gas–liquid interfacial areas are expected to be very large due to the dramatic reduction of characteristic dimension to micron scale, thus gas–liquid mass transfer rates are greatly increased, which can benefit such applications as gas–liquid catalytic hydrogenation, direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004). For example, Jähnisch et al. (2000) have successfully tested gas/liquid microreactors, namely two micro bubble columns and a falling film microreactor, for the direct fluorination and gas absorption (de Mas et al., 2003; Hessel et al., 2005; Jähnisch et al., 2000; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Löb et al., 2004; Tegrotenhuis et al., 2000; Yeong et al., 2004).
Gas–liquid mass transfer operations or reactions are always closely interrelated with two-phase flow patterns. In a microchannel, the predominance of interfacial forces will cause gas–liquid two-phase flow characteristics (flow pattern and its transition rule, pressure drop characteristics) behave very differently from those in conventional large-sized channels, as reported by many authors (Chung and Kawaji, 2004; Kawahara et al., 2002; Serizawa et al., 2002; Zhao and Bi, 2001a). As a result, gas–liquid mass transfer behaviors associated with these flow patterns in the microchannel may be different from those in large channels. It means that the applicability of the currently available correlations on mass transfer in gas–liquid flow in large tubes should be further examined in the microchannel. Newly designed experiments should be conducted to investigate gas liquid mass transfer characteristics in the microchannel.

So far, to the best of knowledge, detailed experimental results on mass transfer in gas–liquid flow in microchannels are scarce. Therefore, the aim of this work is experimental measurement of mass transfer characteristics in a rectangular microchannel with a hydraulic diameter of $667 \mu m$. First, both physical and chemical methods were employed to investigate mass transfer parameters (liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient, interfacial area and liquid side mass transfer coefficient) in the microchannel. Two-phase flow patterns and pressure drop data under these experimental conditions were also examined. Then the influence of operational conditions was discussed and new correlations were proposed. Finally, a comparison of mass transfer performance among different gas–liquid contactors was performed to reveal the superiority of the gas–liquid microchannel contactor.

2. Experimental apparatus and procedure

2.1. Gas–liquid microchannel contactor specification

To measure mass transfer characteristics in the microchannel accurately, two gas–liquid microchannel contactors micromachined on the polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) substrate were used in this work. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the first contactor mainly contains a Y-type rectangular microchannel with a hydraulic diameter of $667 \mu m$ (1000 $\mu m$ deep, 500 $\mu m$ wide). Both the gas and liquid inlet sections have lengths of 1.5 cm and the angle between them is 60°. The straight section in the microchannel for gas–liquid contacting is 4.8 cm long. In order to form a closed microchannel, the microchannel plate was covered with a piece of transparent adhesive tape. Then a smooth PMMA plate was placed on the top. The two plates were clamped together tightly through the bolting holes distributing symmetrically on the peripheries. Necessary tubings can be connected to the contactor through inlet and outlet plenums providing accesses to fluids. This contactor will be referred to as SMC I. The second contactor shown in Fig. 1(b) was used for the elimination of end effects and will be termed hereafter as SMC II. Compared with Fig. 1(a), it can be seen that in SMC II the two-phase inlet region and the outlet zone corresponding to SMC I are directly connected while the straight microchannel section for gas–liquid contacting is absent.

2.2. Experimental setup

To characterize gas–liquid mass transfer in the microchannel, both physical and chemical mass transfer experiments were conducted. A schematic diagram of the test facility for these experiments is presented in Fig. 2.

2.2.1. Physical mass transfer experiments

As shown in Fig. 2, pure $CO_2$ from a gas cylinder was conveyed by a pressure regulator and was forced to flow through a filter to remove possible contaminations. Then a mass flow controller was used to ensure a constant gas flow rate into
SMC I. The gas inlet pressure was measured with a pressure transducer. Boiled deionized water was drawn from a liquid tank with a pump and a filter was also placed between them. A buffer tank just after the pump was used to stabilize the flow rate. The accurate liquid flow rate was measured by weighing method (i.e., monitoring the increase in the weight of discharging liquid collected in a container over a period of time). After contacting horizontally in the contactor, two-phase mixture flowed downward into a sealed phase separator through a vertical short tube with 4 mm inner diameter. In order to prevent air from diffusing into the separator, unabsorbed CO2 was guided through a sufficient long capillary before it vented into air. Three thermocouples (K-type) were located in the inlet ports and the separator, respectively, to measure the corresponding temperatures. All experiments were conducted under ambient conditions (0.1 MPa, 20°C).

The amount of physically absorbed CO2 was determined by the standard technique of adding the liquid sample from the phase separator into an excess of NaOH solution and titrating with HCl solution. Phenolphthalein and methyl orange were selected as the indicators for the first and second end-points, respectively. As a blank titration, a similar analysis was also done for the inlet water.

The overall mass transfer contributions in the outlet of SMC I, the connecting short tube and the phase separator were investigated using SMC II shown in Fig. 1(b) under the same operational conditions as those for SMC I. The other experimental setup remained the same as that shown in Fig. 2.

2.2.2. Chemical mass transfer experiments

The systems used were CO2 absorption into a buffer solution of 0.3 M NaHCO3/0.3 M Na2CO3 and a 1 M NaOH solution. In contrast to physical absorption experiments, two-phase mixture from the outlet of SMC I was directly exposed to air and liquid was collected in an open phase separator because there was no risk of CO2 escape from the alkaline solution into the air. That is, no connecting tube was needed between the contactor and the phase separator. All experiments were conducted at 25°C under atmospheric pressure.

The amount of chemically absorbed CO2 was calculated from concentration changes in the outgoing solution, which was monitored by titrating liquid sample from the phase separator with HCl solution, using phenolphthalein and methyl orange as the indicators for the first and second end-points, respectively.

To account for end effects concerning chemical mass transfer contributions in the outlet of SMC I, reference experiments were also carried out using SMC II shown in Fig. 1(b).

2.2.3. Two-phase flow pattern visualization

In order to exhibit mass transfer characteristics in the microchannel clearly, gas–liquid flow patterns under the experimental conditions were also recorded with the aid of a high-speed CCD camera. A cold lamp was used to provide the background illumination for the microchannel. The captured frames were immediately transferred to a personal computer via a data acquisition system for later analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Two-phase flow patterns

The images of two-phase flow encountered during physical mass transfer experiments were analyzed and some typical photographs are demonstrated in Fig. 3. The superficial gas velocity \( j_G \) was calculated using the gas density under the local pressure in the observation window by assuming a linear pressure distribution along the microchannel. While for pressure drop and mass transfer data shown in the following sections, \( j_G \) was derived based on the average pressure between the microchannel inlet and outlet.

Under the present experimental conditions, stable slug flow was observed at the lowest \( j_G \) (Fig. 3(a)). The increase of \( j_G \) at the same \( j_L \) led to longer bubbles and shorter liquid slugs. At certain \( j_G \), slug flow became unstable, that is, the bubbles approached each other and the length of liquid slugs could not remain constant (Fig. 3(b)). The extremely longer bubble sometimes broke into several parts (Fig. 3(c)).

When \( j_L \) was relatively low, increasing \( j_G \) led to the merging of the bubbles in slug flow pattern and the slug-annular flow pattern appeared eventually (Fig. 3(d)). This flow pattern resembles an essentially wavy-annular flow if it is not periodically interrupted by large-amplitude solitary waves which do not grow sufficiently to block the flow path (Triplett et al., 1999a).

Churn flow was observed when both \( j_L \) and \( j_G \) were higher. Similar to the findings of Chung and Kawaji (2004) for N2-water flow through 250 and 530 μm diameter microchannels, it was also observed that there were two types of churn flow in the present microchannel, i.e., either showing streaks and swirls that trail the gas slug (Fig. 3(e)) or interfacial structures resembling a serpentinite-like gas core moving through the liquid (Fig. 3(f)).

Fig. 4 presents the developed flow pattern map for CO2-water flow in the present microchannel. Many authors have found that the flow patterns in microchannels cannot be satisfactorily described by the widely used flow regime transition models for large channels and therefore new flow pattern maps using superficial velocities as coordinates were proposed (Kawahara et al., 2002; Triplett et al., 1999a; Zhao and Bi, 2001a). Later, Akbar et al. (2003) assessed the available experimental data that dealt with flow regimes of air–water like fluid pairs in near-circular microchannels and devised a flow pattern map using Weber numbers as coordinates. In Figs. 4(a) and (b), the flow transition lines obtained by Triplett et al. (1999a) for a 1.097 mm diameter circular microchannel and those by Akbar et al. (2003) are also included for comparison. It can be seen that the current experimental data are generally in good agreement with the predictions of these two maps. However, it should be noted that the measured transition boundary from slug flow to churn flow in the present microchannel at the highest superficial liquid velocity disagrees with the predictions of the flow pattern map of Akbar et al. (2003). This deviation is partly because of the inconsistent terminology used by these authors for some flow patterns. For example, the flow pattern referred to as churn...
flow by Triplett et al. (1999a) is actually subdivided into two different regimes according to the flow pattern map of Akbar et al. (2003): dispersed flow and a flow pattern included in the transition zone. Consequently, the slug-churn transition line of Triplett et al. (1999a) was not considered in the latter paper. Thus more systematic experimental studies are still needed before we can obtain a universal flow pattern map based on dimensionless groups for microchannels.

3.2. Pressure drop

For both physical and chemical mass transfer experiments, the two-phase total pressure drop through the microchannel can be roughly measured as

$$\Delta P_T = P_{\text{in}} - P'_{\text{in}}.$$  

Therefore, the frictional two-phase pressure drop for cocurrent gas–liquid flow in the horizontal microchannel can be derived as

$$\Delta P_F = \Delta P_T - \Delta P_A,$$  

where

$$\Delta P_A = \rho G^2 \left[ \frac{x^2}{\rho G^2 + (1-x)(\rho L)} + \frac{(1-x)^2}{(1-x)\rho L} \right].$$

The void fraction data in Eq. (3) are evaluated using the Armand correlation, that is,

$$\alpha = 0.833\beta.$$  

Eq. (4) was found to be in reasonable agreement with the experimental data of slug flow in microchannels (Serizawa et al., 2002; Zhao and Bi, 2001b).

The acceleration pressure drop thus obtained was found to be negligible compared with the two-phase total pressure drop, ranging from 0.01% to 1.26% of the latter for physical mass transfer experiments. Fig. 5 displays the two-phase frictional pressure drop data as a function of $j_L$ and $j_G$ for CO$_2$-water flow in the microchannel. Obviously, the two-phase frictional pressure drop increases with the increasing $j_L$ and $j_G$, which was also observed by many authors (Kawahara et al., 2002; Triplett et al., 1999b; Yue et al., 2004; Zhao and Bi, 2001b).

To predict the two-phase frictional pressure drop data in the microchannel, Lockhart–Martinelli method was used. In this method, the two-phase frictional pressure drop gradient ($\Delta P_F/L$)$_F$ is correlated with the two-phase friction multiplier $\Phi_L^2$ and the Martinelli parameter $X$ (Lockhart and Martinelli, 1949), that is,

$$\left( \frac{\Delta P_F}{L} \right)_F = \Phi_L^2 \left( \frac{\Delta P_F}{L} \right)_L,$$

$$X^2 = \frac{(\Delta P_F/L)_L}{(\Delta P_F/L)_G},$$

where $(\Delta P_F/L)_L$ and $(\Delta P_F/L)_G$ are the frictional pressure drop gradients when liquid and gas are assumed to flow in the microchannel alone, respectively. The relationship between $\Phi_L^2$ and $X$ can be found from the following widely used Chisholm's
equation (Chisholm, 1967):

$$\phi^2_L = 1 + \frac{C}{X} + \frac{1}{X^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $C$ is a constant ranging from 5 to 20 dependent on the flow status of gas and liquid in the channel.

Fig. 6 plots the measured two-phase friction multiplier as a function of the Martinelli parameter for physical mass transfer experiments. Because most of the present experiments were conducted under the conditions that both $Re_L$ and $Re_G$ were lower than 1000, $C$ value should be 5 (Chisholm, 1967).

However, as can be seen from the figure, the measured two-phase friction multiplier cannot be predicted well by Eq. (7) with a single value of $C$. Moreover, the experimentally derived $C$ value increases dramatically when there is an increase in the range of mass flux $G$, showing significant mass flux effect. This effect was first observed in minichannels with $d_b \geq 1$ mm (Ali et al., 1993; Wambsganss et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1997). Then the prevalence of such effect in microchannels was found to be also evident. Zhao and Bi (2001b) pointed out that the measured two-phase friction multiplier data for three miniature triangular channels with $d_b = 0.866$, 1.443 and 2.866 mm largely fell between the curves predicted by Eq. (7)
for $C = 5$ and 20, except the case at very lower superficial liquid velocities. However, what they neglected is that in fact there is a strong evidence of mass flux effect according to their data, especially for the microchannel with $d_h = 0.866$ mm (see Fig. 7(a) in their paper). Yue et al. (2004) noticed this effect in two rectangular microchannels with $d_h = 528$ and 333 μm and then proposed a correlation of $C$ value which could describe their experimental data well. Recently Chung and Kawaji (2004) also proved this effect in N$_2$-water flow through circular microchannels of 530 and 250 μm diameter. But no correlation accounting for such effect was given in their paper.

Therefore, a similar analysis to that of Yue et al. (2004) was performed based on a least square regression of the obtained $C$ values for physical mass transfer experiments in the microchannel and the following correlation was developed:

$$C = 0.185X^{-0.0942}Re_{LO}^{0.711}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The predictions of $(\Delta P_F/L)_{TP}$ during CO$_2$-water flow in the microchannel by combining Eqs. (5) to (8) were found to be in reasonable agreement with the experimental results, as shown in Fig. 7(a), where the standard deviation is as low as 9.2%. To further check the validity of Eq. (8), the measured $(\Delta P_F/L)_{TP}$ data in chemical mass transfer experiments are also compared with the model predictions (Fig. 7(b)). The standard deviations in this case are only 13.3% and 10.2% for the buffer solution and NaOH solution, respectively. Thus Lockhart–Martinelli method is still applicable to represent two-phase frictional pressure drop characteristics in the present microchannel if the proposed correlation of $C$ value in the Chisholm’s equation is employed.

3.3. Mass transfer characteristics

3.3.1. Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel measured by physical absorption method

For physical absorption of CO$_2$ into water, liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient $k_{La}$ in the present microchannel can be represented as

$$k_{La} = \frac{jL}{L} \ln \left( \frac{C^* - C_{CO2,0}}{C^* - C_{CO2,1}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Fig. 8 depicts the measured $k_{La}$ in the microchannel as a function of $jL$ and $jG$. The experimentally observed flow pattern transition boundary between slug flow and slug-annular flow or churn flow for each $jL$ is also plotted in this figure. It is shown that when the microchannel is operated under these flow patterns, $k_{La}$ value increases significantly with the increasing $jL$ and $jG$, which is similar to mass transfer behavior generally observed in minichannels with $d_h \geq 1$ mm (Luo and Ghiaasiaan, 1997; Tortopidis and Bontozoglou, 1997; Vandu et al., 2005).

It is commonly accepted that liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficients in large pipes can be well correlated with the energy dissipation parameter $\varepsilon$ (Jagota et al., 1973; Jepsen, 1970; Tortopidis and Bontozoglou, 1997), defined as

$$\varepsilon = \left( \frac{\Delta P_F}{L} \right)_{TP} (jG + jL).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

However, it was found (Yue et al., 2006) that the measured $k_{La}$ value in the present microchannel can be better predicted using $(\Delta P_F/L)_{TP}$ instead of $\varepsilon$ as the fitting parameter, where the
standard deviation is only 18.9% in the former case, in contrast to 45.9% in the latter case. That is, the following correlation was obtained:

\[ k_{La} = 0.0863 \left( \frac{\Delta P_F}{L} \right)^{0.881} \cdot T_P \]  

(12)

Another more justified method to correlate liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient is to use dimensionless empirical correlations (Luo and Ghiaasiaan, 1997; Scott and Hayduk, 1966; Shilimkan and Stepanek, 1977b). Through a least square regression of the experimental data, separate correlations were developed for different flow patterns in order to display physical mass transfer characteristics in the present microchannel. That is, for slug flow:

\[ Sh_L \cdot a \cdot dh = 0.084 Re_G^{0.213} \cdot Re_L^{0.937} \cdot Sc_L^{0.5} \]  

(13)

and for slug-annular flow and churn flow:

\[ Sh_L \cdot a \cdot dh = 0.058 Re_G^{0.344} \cdot Re_L^{0.912} \cdot Sc_L^{0.5} \]  

(14)

Fig. 9 shows the good predicting performance of Eqs. (13) and (14) with standard deviations of 22.8% and 19%, respectively.

3.3.2. Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel measured by chemical absorption method

Due to very high mass transfer rate, a certain gas–liquid system in the microchannel may fall into different reaction regime from those in conventional-sized vessels depending on the relative rates of diffusion and reaction (Danckwerts, 1970). Consequently, the applicability of currently available gas–liquid systems for investigation of mass transfer parameters to microchannel contactors should be carefully checked.

In the present investigation, the absorption of CO₂ into a buffer solution of 0.3 M NaHCO₃ / 0.3 M Na₂CO₃ was chosen to characterize liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel. The global reaction that occurs is (Danckwerts, 1970)

\[ CO_2 + CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow 2HCO_3^- \]  

(15)

According to the experimental results of Roberts and Danckwerts (1962), the apparent first-order rate constant for this reaction, \( k_{1,\text{app}} = 0.86 \text{ s}^{-1} \). From Fig. 8 we can see that \( k_{La} \) value for physical absorption experiments in the present microchannel ranges from 0.7 to 21 s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, it is anticipated that when CO₂ is absorbed into the buffer solution in the microchannel under the same ranges of \( j_L \) and \( j_G \) as those for physical absorption experiments, the following inequality should be fulfilled,

\[ k_{La} \geq (1 - x)k_{1,\text{app}}. \]  

(16)

It means that in the present microchannel the reaction rate is very much slower than the transfer rate of CO₂ into the solution, thus the absorption process can be treated as a physical one and CO₂ absorbed remains unreacted in the bulk liquid. Under this condition, the specific rate of absorption in the microchannel can be written as

\[ R_{mc} = k_L(C^* - C_{CO_2}). \]  

(17)

A mass balance for dissolved CO₂ in the bulk liquid over an elementary volume of the microchannel will result in

\[ -Q_L dC_{CO_2} = k_L a(C^* - C_{CO_2}) dV_{mc}. \]  

(18)

By assuming that \( k_L a \) is constant and integrating Eq. (18) over the entire microchannel volume, \( k_L a \) in the microchannel
can be derived as

\[ k_{L,a} = \frac{Q_L}{v_{mc}} \ln \left( \frac{C^* - C_{CO_2,0}}{C^* - C_{CO_2,1}} \right), \]  

(19)

where \( C_{CO_2,0} = 0 \).

When two-phase mixture left from the microchannel to the outlet region of SMC I, there was still large gas–liquid contacting. As the outlet region is more like a tube of 6 mm diameter (Fig. 1(a)), liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient here is thought to be very low under the present experimental conditions and the following inequalities are satisfied (Kasturi and Stepanek, 1974b; Shilimkan and Stepanek, 1977b; Tortopidis and Bontozoglou, 1997),

\[ (k_{L,a})_{out} \ll (1 - \alpha)k_{1,app}, \]

(20)

\[ k_{L,out}^2 \gg D_{CO_2}k_{1,app}. \]

(21)

In this case, the rate of reaction in the diffusion film is negligible and the concentration of \( CO_2 \) in the bulk liquid is nearly zero. Then the absorption rate of \( CO_2 \) in the outlet region is (Danckwerts, 1970)

\[ R_{out} = k_{L,out}C^*. \]

(22)

Therefore, a mass balance for \( C_{CO_2}^– \) over an elementary volume of the outlet region can be written as

\[-Q_L dC_{CO_2}^– = (k_{L,a})_{out}C^* dV_{out}\]

(23)

And by integrating we can derive the average liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient in the outlet region as

\[(k_{L,a})_{out} = \frac{Q_L(C_{CO_3}^2,0 - C_{CO_2,1} - C_{CO_3}^2,2)}{C^*V_{out}}.\]

(24)

Also in the reference experiment using SMC II shown in Fig. 1(b) under the same experimental conditions, there should be

\[(k_{L,a})_{out} = \frac{Q_L(C_{CO_3}^2,0 - C_{CO_3}^2,3)}{C^*V_{out}}.\]

(25)

Therefore we have

\[ C_{CO_2,1} = C_{CO_3}^2,3 - C_{CO_3}^2,2. \]

(26)

From Eqs. (19) and (26), \( k_{L,a} \) value in the microchannel can be calculated as

\[ k_{L,a} = \frac{j_L}{L} \left( \frac{C^*}{C^* + C_{CO_3}^2,2 - C_{CO_3}^2,3} \right). \]

(27)

Fig. 10 demonstrates the evolution of the measured \( k_{L,a} \) value in the microchannel versus \( j_L \) and \( j_G \). The same tendency as that shown in Fig. 8 is observed, i.e., \( k_{L,a} \) value increases with an increase in \( j_L \) or \( j_G \). However, the obtained \( k_{L,a} \) value by the present chemical method is generally lower than that measured by physical absorption method at the same \( j_L \) and \( j_G \), which is thought to result from a lower diffusivity of \( CO_2 \) in the buffer solution than that in water.

3.3.3. Interfacial area in the microchannel measured by the chemical method

The chemical method based on the absorption of \( CO_2 \) into a 1 M NaOH solution was applied to determine interfacial area in the microchannel. The reactions occurring can be expressed as

\[ CO_2 + OH^- \rightarrow HCO_3^-, \]

(28)

\[ HCO_3^- + OH^- \rightarrow CO_3^2- + H_2O. \]

(29)

The reaction (28) is rate controlling due to its significantly lower reaction rate than that of reaction (29). A general correlation of the second-order rate constant for reaction (28), \( k_{OH^-} \), was suggested by Pohorecki and Moniuk (1988):

\[ \log_{10}k_{OH^-} = 11.916 - \frac{2382}{T} + \sum b_{ion}I_{ion}. \]

(30)

Reaction (28) can be regarded as a fast and pseudo-first-order reaction in the present microchannel under certain experimental conditions, provided the following criteria are satisfied (Danckwerts, 1970),

\[ \sqrt{\frac{D_{CO_2}k_{OH^-}C_{OH^-}}{k^2_{L}}} \ll \sqrt{\frac{D_{CO_2}}{D_{OH^-}} + \frac{C_{OH^-}}{2C^*} \sqrt{\frac{D_{OH^-}}{D_{CO_2}}}}. \]

(31)

\[ \frac{D_{CO_2}k_{OH^-}C_{OH^-}}{k^2_{L}} \gg 3. \]

(32)

That is, \( CO_2 \) is entirely consumed in the diffusion film and the interfacial concentration of \( OH^- \) is practically the same as that in the bulk liquid phase. Recently Zanfir et al. (2005) have tested this absorption process in a falling film microreactor containing 64 microchannels of 300 \( \times \) 100 \( \mu \)m and found that \( CO_2 \) was completely reacted within a very short distance from the gas–liquid interface and the concentration of \( OH^- \) did not decrease to zero near the interface, indicating that the limiting
step for this reaction occurring in their microreactor is still CO$_2$ mass transfer in the liquid phase.

In this case, the specific rate of absorption is given by

$$R = C^* \sqrt{D_{CO_2} k_{OH} - C_{OH}^{-}}.$$  

(33)

Therefore, similar to the deduction put in Section 3.3.2, interfacial area in the microchannel is derived as

$$a = \frac{Q_L}{C^* V_{mc} \sqrt{D_{CO_2} k_{OH}^{-}}}.$$  

(34)

As to the mass transfer contributions in the outlet region of SMC I, it is thought that reaction (28) can be considered as instantaneous due to much lower liquid side mass transfer coefficient there (Kasturi and Stepanek, 1974b; Shilimkan and Stepanek, 1977b). In other words, the following criterion is fulfilled (Danckwerts, 1970):

$$k_{L, out} C_{OH}^{-} \gg \sqrt{D_{CO_2} D_{OH}^{-} - C_{OH}^{-}}.$$  

(35)

And under the present experimental conditions, the following inequality exists

$$\frac{C_{OH}^{-} D_{OH}^{-}}{2 C^* D_{CO_2}} \gg 1.$$  

(36)

In this case, the specific rate of absorption is

$$R_{out} = \frac{k_{L, out} C_{OH}^{-}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{D_{OH}^{-}}{D_{CO_2}}}.$$  

(37)

Therefore, we can deduce the average liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient in the outlet region as

$$(k_L a)_{out} = \frac{Q_L}{V_{out}} \sqrt{\frac{D_{OH}^{-}}{D_{CO_2}}} \ln \left( \frac{C_{OH}^{-} - 1}{C_{OH}^{-} - 2} \right).$$  

(38)

In the reference experiment using SMC II shown in Fig. 1 (b), we can also get

$$(k_L a)_{out} = \frac{Q_L}{V_{out}} \sqrt{\frac{D_{OH}^{-}}{D_{CO_2}}} \ln \left( \frac{C_{OH}^{-} - 0}{C_{OH}^{-} - 3} \right).$$  

(39)

From Eqs. (38) and (39) it is obtained that

$$C_{OH}^{-} = \frac{C_{OH}^{-} - 0}{C_{OH}^{-} - 3} C_{OH}^{-}.$$  

(40)

Thus interfacial area in the microchannel can be measured as

$$a = \frac{j_L}{C^* L \sqrt{D_{CO_2} k_{OH}^{-}}} \left( \sqrt{C_{OH}^{-} - 0} - \sqrt{C_{OH}^{-} - 0 C_{OH}^{-} - 2} C_{OH}^{-} - 3 \right).$$  

(41)

The measured $a$ values in the microchannel are reported in Fig. 11. As can be seen, $a$ generally increases with increasing $j_G$, especially at lower $j_L$. However, the effect of $j_L$ on $a$ is not very marked. Under the operational conditions listed in this figure, slug flow was observed at lower $j_G$ while slug-annular flow dominated at higher $j_G$. Therefore, an increase in $j_G$ at a fixed $j_L$ in slug flow pattern will cause longer bubbles and shorter liquid slugs, which will contribute to a larger interfacial area. In slug-annular flow pattern, the evolution of interfacial area with $j_G$ will be somewhat complicated due to the irregular motion of gas–liquid interface. In large tube reactors, many authors have found that interfacial areas were strongly affected by such parameters as liquid holdup and gas–liquid flow structure (Kasturi and Stepanek, 1974a; Shilimkan and Stepanek, 1977a, 1978; Tomida et al., 1978). Therefore, a more detailed inspection of gas–liquid flow in the microchannel under real reaction conditions should be more helpful to elucidate this phenomenon.

3.3.4. Deduction of liquid side mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel

Since the density and viscosity of a 1 M NaOH solution are almost equal to those of a 0.3 M NaHCO$_3$ / 0.3 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ buffer solution, two-phase flow characteristics met during CO$_2$ absorption into these solutions in the microchannel are thought to be similar under the same experimental conditions, which has already been evidenced by the measured pressure drop and flow pattern data. Due to nearly equal CO$_2$ diffusivity in both solutions, the corresponding liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficients would be nearly equal too. Hence, liquid side mass transfer coefficient, $k_L$ in the microchannel can be evaluated based on dividing the $k_L a$ value previously determined for CO$_2$-buffer solution system by the measured $a$ for CO$_2$–NaOH solution system at the same flow rates of gas and liquid. Fig. 12 summarizes the derived $k_L$ in the microchannel. On the whole $k_L$ shows a remarkable increase with $j_L$ at a fixed $j_G$. However, the influence of $j_G$ on $k_L$ is insignificant.

With the data presented in Fig. 12, the necessary criteria for the determination of interfacial area in the microchannel can now be examined. As shown in Table 1, inequalities (31) and (32) are thought to be valid everywhere along the microchannel under the present operational conditions. Therefore, the chosen
chemical method is reliable for the determination of interfacial areas in the microchannel without much experimental error (Danckwerts, 1970).

From the curves shown in Fig. 8, Figs. 10–12, it can be concluded that for a given \( j_L \), the increase of \( k_{La} \) with \( j_G \) in the microchannel is largely due to an increase in \( a \) while for a given \( j_G \), the increase of \( k_{La} \) with \( j_L \) is mainly a result of a significant increase in \( k_L \).

Fig. 12. Effect of superficial gas and liquid velocities on liquid side mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel measured by the chemical method.

Table 1
Verification of necessary criteria for interfacial area measurements in the microchannel under some typical experimental conditions (Ref.: inequalities (31) and (32))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( j_G ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( j_L ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( \sqrt{D_{CO2} \frac{k_{OH} - C_{OH}^-}{k_{OH}^2}} )</th>
<th>( \sqrt{D_{OH^-} + \frac{C_{OH}^-}{2D_{OH^-}}} )</th>
<th>( \sqrt{D_{OH^-}} )</th>
<th>( a ) (m²/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microchannel inlet</td>
<td>Microchannel outlet</td>
<td>Microchannel inlet</td>
<td>Microchannel outlet</td>
<td>Microchannel inlet</td>
<td>Microchannel outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>22.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>26.57</td>
<td>19.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>24.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>19.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>22.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>20.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Comparison of mass transfer parameters in different gas–liquid contactors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of contactor</th>
<th>( k_L ) × 10⁵ (m/s)</th>
<th>( a ) (m²/m³)</th>
<th>( k_{La} ) × 10² (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bubble columns (Charpentier, 1981)</td>
<td>10–40</td>
<td>50–600</td>
<td>0.5–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couette–Taylor flow reactor (Dlusa et al., 2004)</td>
<td>9–20</td>
<td>200–1200</td>
<td>3–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impinging jet absorbers (Herskowitz et al., 1990)</td>
<td>29–66</td>
<td>90–2050</td>
<td>2.5–122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed columns, cocurrent (Charpentier, 1981)</td>
<td>4–60</td>
<td>10–1700</td>
<td>0.04–102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed columns, countercurrent (Charpentier, 1981)</td>
<td>4–20</td>
<td>10–350</td>
<td>0.04–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray column (Kies et al., 2004)</td>
<td>12–19</td>
<td>75–170</td>
<td>1.5–2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static mixers (Heyouni et al., 2002)</td>
<td>100–450</td>
<td>100–1000</td>
<td>10–250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stirred tank (Kies et al., 2004)</td>
<td>0.3–80</td>
<td>100–2000</td>
<td>3–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube reactors, horizontal and coiled (Charpentier, 1981)</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>50–700</td>
<td>0.5–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube reactors, vertical (Charpentier, 1981)</td>
<td>20–50</td>
<td>100–2000</td>
<td>2–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas–liquid microchannel contactor of this study</td>
<td>40–160</td>
<td>3400–9000</td>
<td>30–2100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Comparison of mass transfer performance among different gas–liquid contactors

Table 2 lists typical mass transfer parameters measured in the microchannel (Fig. 8, Figs. 10–12), as well as those for some gas–liquid contactors frequently used in laboratories and industries. It is demonstrated from this table that liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient and interfacial area in the microchannel structure are at least one or two orders of magnitude higher than those in traditional contactors such as bubble columns, packed columns. When the microchannel size is further reduced, much higher interfacial area is expected (Haverkamp et al., 2002; Jähnisch et al., 2000). For example, Haverkamp et al. (2002) measured gas–liquid interfacial areas in a 300 μm wide, 100 μm deep microchannel using optical method and found that the maximum value could reach 18,000 m²/m³, which is very difficult to achieve in conventional devices. Therefore, significant mass transfer intensification in the microchannel implies that the adoption of gas–liquid microchemical systems is very beneficial to many industrially important gas–liquid mass transfer operations and reactions.

4. Conclusions

In this study, gas–liquid flow and mass transfer characteristics in a rectangular microchannel with a hydraulic diameter of 667 μm have been investigated by the absorption of CO₂ into
water, a 0.3 M NaHCO₃ / 0.3 M Na₂CO₃ buffer solution and a 1 M NaOH solution. The ranges of superficial gas and liquid velocities were 0.7–13 m/s and 0.09–1.0 m/s, respectively. The following conclusions can be drawn from the results of this paper:

1. For CO₂-water flow through the microchannel, slug flow, slug-annular flow and churn flow were observed. The experimental data are in overall good agreements with the predictions of the flow pattern maps proposed by Triplet et al. (1999a) and Akbar et al. (2003).

2. For both physical and chemical mass transfer experiments, the two-phase frictional pressure drop in the microchannel can be well predicted by Lockhart–Martinelli method if the proposed new correlation of C value in the Chisholm’s equation accounting for mass flux effect is employed.

3. At a fixed superficial liquid velocity, both liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient and interfacial area in the microchannel increase with an increase in superficial gas velocity, while the change of liquid side mass transfer coefficient is insignificant. At a fixed superficial gas velocity, both liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient and liquid side mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel show dramatic increases with an increase in superficial liquid velocity, while the effect of superficial liquid velocity on interfacial area is not very marked.

4. The developed empirical correlations (Eqs. (12)–(14)) can predict the measured liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient in the microchannel for CO₂-water system with standard deviations less than 23%.

5. Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient and interfacial area in the present microchannel are measured to be as high as 21 s⁻¹ and 9000 m²/m³, respectively, which are at least one or two orders of magnitude higher than those in conventional gas–liquid contactors, thus showing the great potential of gas–liquid microchemical systems for many industrially relevant gas–liquid mass transfer operations and reactions.

**Notation**

- \( k_{\text{app}} \): pseudo-first-order rate constant, s⁻¹
- \( k_L \): liquid side mass transfer coefficient, m/s
- \( k_{La} \): liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient, s⁻¹
- \( k_{\text{OH}^-} \): rate constant for reaction between carbon dioxide and hydroxyl ion, m²/(mol s)
- \( L \): length of the microchannel, m
- \( P_{in} \): inlet gas pressure in SMC I, Pa
- \( P'_{in} \): inlet gas pressure in SMC II, Pa
- \( \Delta P_A \): two-phase acceleration pressure drop, Pa
- \( \Delta P_F \): two-phase frictional pressure drop, Pa
- \( \Delta P_T \): two-phase total pressure drop, Pa
- \( Q \): flow rate, m³/s
- \( R \): rate of absorption per unit interfacial area, mol/(m² s)
- \( R_{eG} \): superficial gas Reynolds number defined by \((=d_h j_G \rho_G / \mu_G)\), dimensionless
- \( R_{eL} \): superficial liquid Reynolds number defined by \((=d_h j_L \rho_L / \mu_L)\), dimensionless
- \( R_{eLO} \): Reynolds number for liquid flowing at the two-phase mass flux defined by \((=d_h G / \mu_L)\), dimensionless
- \( S_{CL} \): liquid Schmidt number defined by \((=\mu_L / \rho_L D_{CO2})\), dimensionless
- \( S_{HL} \): liquid Sherwood number defined by \((=k_L d_h / D_{CO2})\), dimensionless
- \( T \): temperature, K
- \( V \): volume, m³
- \( x \): gas mass fraction
- \( X \): Martinelli parameter

**Greek letters**

- \( \lambda \): void fraction
- \( \beta \): gas volumetric flow ratio
- \( \varepsilon \): energy dissipation parameter
- \( \mu \): viscosity, Pa s
- \( \rho \): density, kg/m³
- \( \Phi^2_L \): two-phase frictional multiplier

**Subscripts**

- 0: microchannel inlet
- 1: microchannel outlet
- 2: in the phase separator during experiments with SMC I
- 3: in the phase separator during reference experiment with SMC II
- G: gas phase
- L: liquid phase
- mc: microchannel
- out: the outlet region of SMC I
- TP: two-phase mixture
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